

DISCLOSURE OF DUAL REPRESENTATION

In approving the preceding Intergovernmental Agreement between Gila County Community College District(Provisional), Gila County and Graham County Community College District d/b/a Eastern Arizona College, Gila County Attorney Daisy Flores has represented Gila County and Gila County Community College District (Provisional).

Arizona Ethical Rule 1.7 requires that certain steps be taken before an attorney can represent one client that is directly adverse to another client or representation of one client may be materially limited by the attorney's responsibilities to another client.

In approving the IGA on behalf of Gila County and Gila County Community College District (Provisional), Daisy Flores has determined that representation of one client is not directly adverse to the other, nor will representation of one client be materially limited by her responsibilities to the other.

However, it is possible in the future that if any dispute arises from this IGA, and Gila County and Gila County Community College District (Provisional) are adverse to each other, Daisy Flores may have to invoke the requirements of Ethical Rule 1.7.

///

Arizona Ethical Rule 1.7 is as follows:

Ethical Rule 1.7: CONFLICT OF INTEREST: CURRENT CLIENTS:

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:

- (1) the representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client;
or
- (2) there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by a personal interest of the lawyer.

(b) Notwithstanding the existence of a concurrent conflict of interest under paragraph (a), a lawyer may represent a client if each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing, and:

- (1) the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client;
- (2) the representation is not prohibited by law; and
- (3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal.

As a result of Ethical Rule 1.7 the attorney is required to reasonably believe the dual representation will not be adversely affected, and each client must consent in writing after consultation.

Therefore, the undersigned acknowledges this dual representation and acknowledges that if the Rule's conflict of interest occurs, Daisy Flores may have to withdraw her representation of one or both clients.

Date

08-11-11

Date

Michael A. Pastor, Chairman
Gila County Board of Supervisors



Robert S. Ashford, Chairman
Gila County Community College District Board